



US Drone Attacks: it's Impacts on Pakistan's Sovereignty

Fatima Ali¹, Safdar Ali²

Abstract

In response to the terrorist's attack on the Pentagon and the World Trade Centre on 11th September 2001, the forces of United States launched a global counterterrorism campaign. The sovereignty of Pakistan is also escaped in the counter attacks of terrorism. Pakistan always stands first in the warfare against bombing but still it is paying a high cost of this war. So, Pakistan is fronting a fragile refuge circumstances as American drones are bombing on the citizens in the ancestral zones by violating its boundaries on top of old smoky. In contrast, equipped fighters of diverse outfits are conflicting with legislative security equipment not only in the ancestral zones albeit urban settled areas too. Thus, the security condition in Pakistan has worsened since past two decades and the nation is completely unprotected likewise it had been throughout the Soviet assaults of Afghanistan. The proposed research is an attempt to explain the key role of Pakistan in the war against terrorism through the deductive and analytical research approaches. However, the sacrifices of Pakistan are not considered at the global and regional levels, despite it has paid heavy cost of it.

Keywords: USA, Pakistan, Security, Drone Attacks, Terrorism.

Introduction

The war on terror and the sovereignty of the regions including Pakistan has attracted much scholarly attention elsewhere. There is available the writings and analysis of many authors and the scholars who worked on the political, social, economic and global effects of war on terror and terrorism too. In the proposed paper will focus on effects of drone attacks and militancy on the sovereignty and stability of Pakistan.

It has been argued that the US policy of drone attacks, the attack of US- led NATO force on Salala Check Post and US forces operation in which Usama Bin Laden was assassinated without the formal approval of Government of Pakistan diluted the state sovereignty of Pakistan.

The US-led counterterrorism campaign should embrace such policies that do not violate the established international norms and values. It is expected that the revised policies would substantiate the efforts to eradicate the terrorism in the region. Equally, the relations based on mutual respect would result in the extended trust and confidence. Consequently, the Cooperative Security initiatives would ensure the eradication of terrorism worldwide.

¹ Lecturer, Department of History & Pakistan Studies, The Women University, Multan

² PhD Scholar, Institute of International Relations, Shah Abdul Latif University Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan

The government of United States, after the incident of 9/11, has invaded countless drone bouts on Pakistan throughout the last ten years because they believe Pakistani's are behind the event of 9/11. These assaults are very common events of today and normally lean towards to target zones in the Pakistan's northwest areas mostly owned by the Taliban communities. (Mohanty, Nirode)

The United States is frequently using these drone attacks by considering it as a likely armament against the war on horror. However, Pakistani's have strong antagonism against drone attacks in their country. One of the antagonist stated that people are indiscriminating in their targeting and frequently assassinating citizens (Anwar, Muhammad). Consequently, it is very important that a conference or a meeting should be held between the United States, Pakistan and other global communities to discuss the problems. Pakistan is facing because of drone attacks. Such kind of meeting will be effective due to diverse reasons, the variety of different viewpoint and its outcomes will be helpful to reduce the use of drone attacks.

Global War against Terrorism and Pakistan

The operations of United States are given a name of GWOT to remove global bombing, which is referred as "bombing concerning residents or the land of more than one kingdom" (<http://terrorism.about.com/od/whatisterroris1/ss/DefineTerrorism>)

The decision has changed the whole magnitudes of the word 'terrorism' after the event of 9/11. All hard work as well as political, lawful, philosophical and martial against officialdoms categorized as terrorists, because the states and governments had supporting them. They are known as a danger to the whole world. The purpose of making this argument is to cover the Al Qaeda and all aggressive officialdoms and persons with rebel Islamists plans (<http://www.freebase.com/view/guid/9202a8c04000641f8000000006eb>)

The GWOT contains both battle and non-battle initiatives, like acumen assembly, active commandment implementation, disputing sedatives marketing, hard work to halt terrorist sponsoring, financial consents, incapacitating famous terrorist cubicles and training encampments, and rebellious revolts. It also includes training armed and law enforcement agency, solidification of infrastructure and supportive unexperienced régimes, defending human rights, and delivering charitable help. 1 It contains the succeeding rudiments:

- To penalize the Taliban in Afghanistan and stop them from becoming powerful community
- Armed crusade to eradicate assaulters
- To elimintae any help for terrorists
- Attempt to abolish circumstances favorable for terrorists
- Gain support of Pakistan to bout against the Taliban in Afghanistan as well as refuting the extremist elements in Pakistan (<http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-gwot.htm>)

The war between the Pakistan and United States started after the assault on Afghanistan in October 2001. Afterwards, the United States hard worked on creating its National security strategy 2002. 1 The war is continued since then and it is predicted that it will be continued for long upcoming years.

Realty of Pakistan and USA Relations

Since the time of its beginning, Pakistan is battling and struggling for the protection of its “territorial integrity”. Pakistan is facing numerous problems after its independence along with the Kashmir problem which caused many wars with India. Beside this, both Pakistan and India have become enemy of each and they are fighting on a variety of issues. The circumstances got more worsen when Afghanistan instantly after freedom began to claim some areas of Pakistan particularly in Pakhtunistan. Therefore Pakistan’s topmost importance turned out to be its protection, because Pakistan fortified herself by the aid of many countries against Afghanistan and India. In this regard the United States was the donor and assistant of Pakistan in 1953, and in return Pakistan was the supporter of US global alliance against communalism in the form of SEATO and CENTO. The conduit of Pakistan between US and China in 1971, in which Pakistan facilitated United States in stabilizing her relations with China caused disrespected past Soviet Union.

Pakistan face the Soviet Union’s antagonism throughout the era of cold war due to her assistance to United States. The increasing Sino Pakistan relationships were disregarded both by Soviet Union and India. USSR provided immense financial, armed and political sustenance to India against Pakistan. In the Sino-Indian war 1962, US offered gigantic financial and armed aid to India. This aid used by India in the war of 1965 against Pakistan.

Throughout the war Pakistan did not received any predictable sustenance from the United States, whereas entire aid from the United States was postponed. (Dr Noman Omar Sattar)

Another time in 1971, Pakistan faces the frailer in getting US assistance against India when she attacked eastern wing of Pakistan (this war terminated in the creation of Bangladesh). After the creation of Bangladesh, which was the crucial period of Pakistan, the legitimately selected leader of Pakistan Z. A. Bhutto was sentenced to death by the Zia-ul-Haq who was become president of Pakistan with the assistance of United States and Bhutto was dealt severely due to his atomic plan and admirable relations with USSR and Muslim world. Pakistan paid heavy amount due to Z.A. Bhutto initiatives in the General era which impacted Pakistani government, organizations, militancy and even radicalism in the Pakistani social world. In Pakistan there was found the anti-American thoughts and relation of US and Pakistan was at the lower ebb. Circumstances altered vividly in `1979 when US lost her hold in Iran due to Iranian revolution and USSR attacked Afghanistan. Pakistan turned out to be the frontline kingdom for the United States to bout against the Marxist on slaughter. It is a known truth that the United States was capable to rollback communalism with the assistance of Pakistan without any other help. Though the character played by Pakistan was not completely respected and once again the disagreement of welfares poisoned the relationships of United States and Pakistan. The repercussion of Afghan jihad for Pakistan was disparaging; 3 million Afghan immigrants on Pakistani land, a load on the kingdom’s budget along with the rise of an overabundance of communal problems such as drug and kalashnikov culture and radicalism. The conquest to the USSR finished United States curiosity in the tactical corporation of Pakistan. As per the Maleeha Lodhi.

“The end of the Cold War also persuaded the US to reevaluate and downgrade its relationship with Pakistan on the ground that the new global environment did not warrant the old strategic partnership” (Ibid)

In the atomic matter permissions were levied and it was thought that Pakistan would be acknowledged at world level the radical nation and this cause of nearer of US to India. Incident of 9/11 alter this situation. (Rekha Datta)

The United States had to look in the direction of its old unkempt assistant for its war on fright. Here Pakistan turned out to be the utmost favorite traitor of the United States in the war, but then again, another time Pakistan is compensating the price.

Imperative Relations of Pakistan and Global War on Terror

The war on fright was intended at eradicating worldwide violence and punishing the culprits of the 9/11. The targets of United States are the kingdoms that help extremists and radical system of government. To accomplish this goal the United States dreadfully looked-for the sustenance of a local companion and Pakistan was the accepted choice. But, this wedding of opportuneness is built on the conflict due to the following conflicting interests.

The GWOT meant war against enemies like “reprobate states, weapons of mass destruction (WMD) proliferators; radical officialdoms of worldwide, local, and countrywide room; and violence itself” for the United States. (Hayatullah Khan Khattak). The Osama Bin Laden and Al-Qaeda, in Afghanistan is the top precedence of America. The GWOT began with “Operation Enduring Freedom” in Afghanistan, the main purpose was to smolder out the extremists accountable for 9/11, trailed by Operation Iraqi Freedom²². For its achievement between the alliance associates. Pakistan was the top choice because of its topographical position, folkloric association, and the character it had performed throughout the Afghan Jihad 1979 against the previous Soviet Union. Therefore, Pakistan was carefully chosen as the front line agent of the United States in the war.

However, the post-9/11 situation did not prove that they would provide ample assistance to Pakistan. Among the three states that documented the Taliban administration in Afghanistan in 1994, Pakistan faced a dilemma over its overseas strategy, and had to compete with its allies. There are communities of the past, cultural, ethnic, religious, spiritual, and humanitarian, with people on the other side of the Afghan border in Pakistan's northwestern belt. As a result, Pakistanis generally do not support GWOT. According to a Gallup poll of Pakistanis in urban areas, 83% sympathize with the Taliban rather than the United States, and 82% do not view Osama bin Laden as an extremist but a united fighter, while 64% believe in the attack. America was an act of extremism.

Drone War Activities

It is important to know the nature and activities of the drone attacks in Pakistan. There has been written different reports on the drone warfare. In the global media, the drone attacks reported weekly since 2004, in which said that north-west regions of Pakistan were badly affected by these attacks. The boundaries of north-west regions are lies with Afghanistan. It is an ancestral zone, which is owned by the federal government of Pakistan and its dogmatic agents. It is baptized FATA. (Federally Administered Tribal Areas) The focal beleaguered zone is Waziristan. This area contains terrestrial on the boundary of the Pakistan and Afghanistan. This is one of the utmost problematic boundaries to rheostat because there are highlands and there are no clear roads. Thus, the chance that radical assemblages can cross the boundary with no trouble is augmented vividly in this area.

Drones are, in fact, the smallest aircraft that can make recommendations with the help of high-end cameras and electromagnetic waves. It will bring weapons and can hit the target. People in the United States, especially at the CIA headquarters and elsewhere in the United States, are controlling drone activity. However, there are several problems with drone bouts. Initially, the United States is bombing a nation that is not fully involved in the state of war, and this is a direct competition for Pakistan's sovereignty. Furthermore, it is causing massive security damage. To kill one or two extremists, the United States may be killing ten or more civilians, including children, women, and elderly residents, although these figures are difficult to defend and are disputed on both sides of the argument.

There is also evidence as to whether the contest is moral or immoral, but at the same time, for the United States, it is snowing the filthy water inside Pakistan, leading to this exaggeration in the North West. Opinion increases. The war was started in 2004 by US President George W. Bush and then President Barack Obama. The president's reshuffle did not reduce tensions, but rather did increase the number of attacks.

This has resulted in the retaliation of the opinion that it has been a Republican-waged stratagem in Pakistan. It is very vital for United States to protect itself from the inordinate danger of bombing, and US also must ponder the damage carried by bombing in Pakistan. In contrast, the strategies to bout against radicals must be re-assessed for the reason that they are not serving to decrease radicalism, target killing and suicide bombing in Pakistan. These conclusions specify that the policy of drone fighting has been one which has fascinated disparagement in a sturdy way since its beginning in 2004 and this one appears to be rising sturdier as the centuries pass by.

In fact, it is important to acknowledge that the use of drone fighting continues, despite increasing investigations, by the United States. Studies and information that contradict this conclusion.

The study found that when George W. Bush was president, the United States carried out 45 to 52 drone strikes in Pakistan. President Obama has approved the figure only six times in his first term. The impact of drone strikes is incredible, and they can eliminate countless injuries and destruction, as well as indiscriminate killings and sabotage. Benjamin's work acknowledges that "missile rockets can immediately eliminate their casualties."

Military Aspects of Drones

The use of drone strikes has not been effective militarily and has helped dispel the controversy over the use of fronts to allow certain movements in warfare out of fear. The use of drones in the war on terror is an effective tactic. The United States emphasizes that drone strikes are justified, do not endanger the lives of American soldiers in war, can target radical movements, and allow extremists to accelerate the elimination of terrorism. At one point, it was discovered in areas that are practically inaccessible to humans.

The drone strikes have been led by the Central Intelligence Agency. (CIA) The CIA emphasizes that its martial law has changed since 9/11 and that: The CIA formally recognized the joint venture under the Associate Director of Military Affairs (ADMA) in 2007. Today, the ADMA operates jointly with the agency and active armed masks, which is working as a squad to coordinate, design, complete and prevent joint CIA and DOD. To accomplish national security objectives, the CIA Director-recognized emergency Universal measures on the grounds.

In a joint operation with the CIA and its Department of Defense (DOD), the United States has dropped its drone program. The United States is deeply involved in the use of drone policy and has broken the ranks of terrorists instead of eliminating any of them. The Obama administration has followed Bush's government's approach to drone strikes, although it has been labeled "the worst choice in the crusade against al Qaeda and the Taliban." Furthermore, Pakistani bureaucrats, after a march, most openly oppose these attacks.

In 2011 drone attack in the ancestral zones that slayed as numerous as 40 Pashtun elders, they remained quiet and surreptitiously bear them'.

But it is clear that the United States continued to implement its drone program approach even still it has apparently unsuccessful in creating thoughtful in-roads into the abolition of radical clusters such as Al Qaeda.

However, it is a strong fact that US attacks have killed civilians, a threat that occurs in all wars. No argument or legitimate opinion can justify the coercion of the relatives of these citizens. Because of the belief that drones strikes have knocked out seemingly illegitimate civilians and terrorists alike, Obama declared that "within four years of his administration, my government has worked hard to create such an agenda." Has worked to manage our use of power against extremists, emphasizing a clear strategy, monitoring and accountability that is now enshrined in the Presidential Policy Guidance (PPG) that Obama enacted in 2014. I signed.

The launch of the PPG shows that the use of drone strikes is well controlled, presumably in recognition of the storm's failure. This prejudice was understood by President Obama when he guaranteed that the use of drone strikes and acting without the support of the Pakistani government as Osama bin Laden meant that "our commitment to Pakistan Will cost - and Pakistanis openly react to their violations. Region - was so tough that we just want to start working on this important organization a few seconds in advance.

These facts show that the drone attack plan has not been as operative as its US government has predicted when the strategy was tossed in 2004.

War against Terrorism and the Sovereignty of State

The US administration invaded a war in contradiction of extremism in the aftershock of the extremist bouts on the World Trade Centre and the Pentagon. The terrorists simultaneously attacked the twin towers, the symbols of US economic strength and the Pentagon, the symbol of its military strength on September 11, 2001. Although, responsibility of the attacks was fixed on Al-Qaida, the war against terrorism was not to remain limited to this organization given its presence across national boundaries and continents. The US president stated that, "The war of United States on terror commences with the Al-Qaida, but it is not the ending point. It will not finish unless each extremist group of the worldwide range has been explored, stopped and beaten"¹. Subsequently, the United States has launched a global campaign against terrorism. The US-led alliance is fighting to do away with terrorism with full strength. They have avowed that "We will use each reserve at our knowledge- each means of international relations, all instruments of intelligence, each tool of law administration, all monetary inspiration and each essential armament of the war- to upset and conquest the global terror network".

The US Government formed a coalition according to the provisions of the UN Security Council Resolution that was passed on September 28, 2001 (1373, 2001). However, it has been observed that the US government in its war against terrorism has gone to such an extreme that it has forgotten the established rules and norms that command the mutual relations of the nations and states in the civilized world.¹ It has been reported that following the doctrine of “might is right”, the US government has demoralized the nations and has challenged the states’ sovereignty across the globe.

State sovereignty, based on the Treaty of Westphalia of 1648, suggests the non-interference of nation-states in the internal affairs of others. However, because of WWII, multilateral agreements and the growing role of the United Nations in world politics have greatly influenced the concept of sovereignty. At first glance, it seems that international responsibilities have become central and state sovereignty has lost its priority. But, the evidence shows that the sovereignty of the state was highly respected during the Cold War. However, in the post-Cold War era, the concept of sovereignty places great emphasis on human security. Unfortunately, in many cases, state sovereignty has been challenged in the name of protecting human security. Often, counter-terrorism campaigns have desecrated the territorial boundaries of sovereign states. This is a violation of international law and the notion of state sovereignty.

The administration of Pakistan has opposed the drone strikes numerous times on media. The antagonism in Pakistan also complains against drone strikes powerfully. Drones are not only disparaged in Pakistan but also disparaged in the United States by its own peoples and human privileges societies. Pakistan also requested United States to pass the drone restarted its armed forces so that only Pakistan could stop the radicals. Pakistan has also claimed that this could be a more proactive solution to the war. Pakistan has tried to negotiate drone strikes on several conflicting levels but it seems that the talks have not been successful yet and it is likely that Pakistan will have to demonstrate. Faith with more power and determination. The civilian casualties are snowing with every match and this is threatening the renewed threat of the United States in the world stadium and especially in the Muslim world. The United States may have to reconsider its strategy on drone bouts because such attacks are not helping the United States win the war on terror.

The war on terror has challenged the state sovereignty in several cases such as Iraq, Afghanistan, Yemen, and Pakistan. Although Pakistan played a vital role in war, the US-led forces have dishonored its territorial boundaries and violated its sovereignty very often. There will explain three main acts to challenge the sovereignty of Pakistan, US policy of Drone attacks, the attack on Salala Check Post by US-NATO forces and the Abbottabad incident.

The Drone Attacks in Pakistani Boundaries

In the present century drones are new distasteful technology. Drones are the new and more effective unnamed vehicles using in war against terrorists. They are easily hit the target and highly effective machine that is why US used drone in the tribal areas of Pakistan in the war against terrorism. Drone are used because they are very functional in terrorists’ operations and save the masses from victims. United States Defense Secretary, Leon Panetta has contended that “drones are unusually specific and restricted in terms of security harm and were the only game in town in term of trying to disturb the Al-Qaeda control”. The chief counter terrorism consultant to President Obama, John Brennan has claimed that “battered assaults are sensible, moral and essential because of the truths of offensive terrorist operatives in far-flung or unreachable areas”.

In the war against terrorism Pakistan joined hand and become a partner of United States and also helped her with intelligence for attacking the terrorist posts. But when US got initial triumph in Afghanistan and made Hamid Karzai the head of pro- US government then US started drone attacks in the tribal areas of Pakistan. The argument in the favor of these attacks was the terrorists of Al-Qaida and Talban were refugees of Pakistani tribal areas as Pakistan is the neighbor country of Afghanistan. The US consider it her right to terminate terrorist everywhere and under this mind set up US started to target the terrorists. These drone attacks increased after Obama came into power.

US declared drone the effective weapon because they easily spoiled the organizational capacity of terrorist groups. US also said that these attacks also cause of pressure on terrorists and finally they would be collapse. The intelligence reports reveal the fact that owing to these attacks the terrorists moved to the tribal areas of Pakistan. But it is the sad fact that drones attacks do not damage the organizational capacity of terrorist, they scattered to the different parts of world.

The United states argued that drone strikes were helpful in successful damage, but unfortunately it remain failed in hitting the top leadership of terrorists and only few low rank leaders were killed. So the figures show that drone attacks could not get the targeted goals to end the top leadership of Al-Qaida. The public opinion in Pakistan about drone attacks is very sever because people think that these strikes are against the severity of Pakistan and also the cause of death of Pakistani citizens including women and children.

Pakistan was the supporter of US in the war of terror but this support coursed of human life loss and Pakistan facing many economic and political problems and when the drone attacks started in the northwest areas of Pakistan then it was hard for Pakistani government to muster the support against the war of terror even in the country. When Pakistan joined the war on terror the terrorist targeted the civil areas of Pakistan. In this situation of war on terror which damaged the severity of Pakistan, the Pakistanis stated to think that this war was imposed on them and this would increase their sufferings. All the religious and political parties opposed the policy of government

Along with the masses the elect members of government also raised their voice in the parliament that they have option to withdraw from war against terrorism. In order to safe the innocent people from drone strikes the present government of Pakistan passed the resolution to stop these attacks. The current government of the Pakistan Tehreek-i-Insaf in the province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa not only protested against these drone attacks but also stopped the NATO supply to the Afghanistan through the route of the KPK.

The Incident of Abbottabad

Osama Bin Laden the Saudi national, in order to save the Muslim community from US reorganized the Al-Qaeda organization. His organization was involved in many terrorist strikes as two terrorists' attacks on America embassies, USS coole attacks and world trade center attack. But after the 9/11 incident the US government put its responsibility on Osama and his organization without investigation. However Osama was declared the responsible of 9/11 attack but the US forces remained fail to kill him for about a decade after 9/11.

The American forces on May 02, 2011 landed Abbottabad without the approval of Pakistani government. To arrest and kill the Osama the US navy seals the areas of Pakistan which was the open ingression in the sovereignty of Pakistan. At the mid night of the 1 May 2012, the special naval operational forces of US cross the international border of Afghanistan and creped towards Abbattabad to find the Osama according to their information. The US force entered the target zone which was near the Pakistan military academy Kakul which was observing for a long time and killed the Osama without any confrontation from other side.

The forces were returned after completing the task and also carry with them the dead body of Osama. After the DNA test and intelligence reports the US declared that Osama was the Saudi National and asked the Saudi government to amass the body of Osama but the Saudi government refused to accept it. Then on 02 May, 2011 the president Obama officially announced the death of Osama.

Pakistanis disliked this illegal operation of America in the part of Pakistan. The Pakistani government called it the violation of international low and norms. The critics stated it the collapse of intelligence as this happened so close the military academy. Under the pressure of the political, social, religious and media groups the government established an inquiry commission under the headship of Justice Javed Iqbal. The report of commission called it the violation of the international law and also the failure of the Pakistani security institutions.

The Attack on Salala Check Post

On 26 November, 2011, the NATO forces entered the 2.5 km Pakistani areas of Salala post which was the federally administrated tribal area (FATA). This attack made on the 2pm of Pakistani local time and in this attack twenty-four Pakistani civilians were died along with 13 soldiers were extremely wended. It was the clear violation of sovereignty of Pakistan. The government of Pakistan highly protested against the violation of her sovereignty and killing the soldiers.

In this attack the NATO forces attacked with gunship helicopter, the Pak army protested against this attack and Pakistan demanded for stop firing because it was the attack on the sovereignty of Pakistan. But the US led NATO forces continued the fairing till two hours and this attack made on the communication center of the Pakistani army and this was the unexpected functioning for Pakistani army. This attack causes the disbelieve between America and Pakistan. It was not first time when United States disgrace Pakistan this event was fourth in serial.

In this controversy there were three parties Pakistan, NATO and US. Pakistan declared it attack on her sovereignty, but NATO forces stated that they only defend themselves, the firing was started from the Pakistan's side.

Pakistan's Response to Drone Attacks

The administration understands that the drone strike policy has been used forever. (At least for the foreseeable future)) Damage to communication between the United States and Pakistan. Goswami's recent study indicates that drone strikes in Pakistan are contradictory and that they are helping the Taliban to spread anti-government and anti-American ideology. To further this prejudice, Goswami quoted Pakistani President Asif Ali Zardari as saying, "We believe that these attacks are an attack on our sovereignty, which many Pakistanis have not accepted. The characteristic of is that they win the hearts and minds of the people. Using

this data, it can be concluded that deportation is dissatisfaction with the use of drone strikes on Pakistani soil.

The new Pakistani Prime Minister, Nawaz Sharif, did not hesitate to take official political criticism with Washington over the alleged killing of seven militants near the Afghan border in a US drone strike on Saturday. Given that these drone strikes have a negative impact. The common aspiration of the two states to promote cordial and supportive relations and to ensure harmony and stability in the region. Apparently, it could also be a key issue in shaping the possible change in the association archetype between the two administrations over how the alleged ideology interprets drone strikes. Newman's study emphasized that Pakistan's new prime minister was partially elected because of the movement. Promises to "demonstrate determination against unilateral attacks, proving that they have broken Pakistani domination and inflamed anti-American sentiment in the state."

Funk supports the idea that opposition to the drone strategy could offer Pakistani lawmakers a run for their money. The record of his work is that all the politicians elected in Pakistan are in complete conflict with the US drone strikes in the state. In order to win a new referendum on May 11, it was necessary to express community hostility to the MMA drone strikes, which defamed the community.

The combination of government and public opinion on the issue of drone strikes underscores the view that drone strikes have failed. The effort is still being assessed as a result of the fact that almost 10 years after the drone strikes, the northwestern region of Pakistan is still reeling, the war on terror has not yet been won and the result is simply this. This is the result of the growth of anti-American critics in the region. , Which in turn is supporting the radicalization of potential young radicals.

The use of drones in the FATA region is seen by Islamic fundamentalists as a land of alliance not only with al-Qaeda, but also with terrorist groups. The lack of accuracy through drone strikes predicts that instead of eliminating extremists living in the FATA region, the use of authority but frustration to gain community support has once again angered American militants. Thus, Pakistan's supply for drone strikes has dwindled and, as a result, the agreement has expired. Worried for the last two decades.

Social Perspectives Concerning Drone Attacks

Examining public opinion, looking at the situation from a social point of view, and later highlighting the influence of the media and how, in both the US states and Pakistan, the method of drone strikes turned into a negative issue is necessary to differentiate. And also in different nations around the world. The investigation seeks to demonstrate that the social perspective is one that has evolved with importance, especially with the expansion of the media and the development of web-based social networking applications in societies around the world.

Utilizing the contextual investigation approach, important sources have been evaluated and they aid to demonstrate that one of the real explanations behind the disappointment of the drone strike is the production of hostile to US assessment in Pakistan, the encompassing locale and the more extensive worldwide society, catalyzed by the part that the media has assumed a part to build up the level headed discussion about these assaults. This would appear to mirror that it is proper to survey the social state of mind towards drone strikes

inside the neoliberalism hypothesis, with the activities of national governments affecting upon associations with different populaces, as found in this present situation.

The social point of view of the circumstance in this manner brings up the issue concerning the radicalization of new individuals for psychological militant gatherings that work in the FATA, including that of TTP. In any case, this ought to be recognized that it is a little minority of people that have been changed by the drone strikes and who now wish to join the psychological oppressor organize. In spite of this, it is an exasperating circumstance, and this type of radicalization speaks to the general move in mentality towards the US and the general utilization of the drone attacks procedure. The work has effectively focused on that the general supposition has rejected the drone strike procedure and that on account of this government officials have solidified their position against the US in their decision talk. It is renowned in the review by Kalten Thaler, Miller and Fair that:

"One of the significant reasons why the drone strikes have turned out to be such a notable issue among such a large amount of the Pakistani overall population is that there is a lot of media scope of the drone issue. The executing of real Al Qaeda, Pakistani or Afghan Taliban figures gets consistent scope in the Pakistani print media (for example, the daily papers Dawn in English and Jang in Urdu), and in addition TV and radio. Be that as it may, the inadvertent blow-back from drone strikes additionally gets real play in the Pakistani media outlets".

The effect of the media is maybe the central point required in turning the general sentiment against the drone methodology and this has been seen with the utilization of realistic pictures and the consistent redundancy of the regular citizen demise tally. The drone strikes keep on being accounted for in the media regularly. The latest report at the season of composing this review came toward start of July 2013. A report in the Huffington Post noticed that:

'An unmanned US air ship let go four rockets at a house in northwest Pakistan before day break Wednesday, killing 16 speculated aggressors... the drone strike inspired a quick judgment by the Pakistani government, which discharged an announcement saying the attacks are an infringement of its sway... U.S. ramble strikes have turned into a genuine wellspring of pressure amongst Islamabad and. Government of Pakistan routinely reproves the strikes as an infringement of the nation's sway, despite the fact that senior authorities are known to have upheld a portion of the assaults before'.

This report underlines that there is proceeded with verbal confrontation over the utilization of drones and despite the fact that the article did not say that the assault harmed or slaughtered any regular citizens, it is obvious from written work style that drone attacks procedure evokes a pessimistic reaction from the Pakistani government and its people groups. In this way, the primary explanation behind the disappointment of the drone system to thrashing psychological warfare in Pakistan, particularly the FATA area and the annihilation of fear based oppressor gatherings and the system in the locale, has been the way in which the drone assaults have created against US feeling in the nation.

Conclusion

Pakistan currently is gotten in an endless loop: Internally, fanaticism and radicalism is on the ascent and it has turned into the casualty of developing psychological oppressor exercises inside the nation. The activists and radicals have now moved from the FATA towards the more established municipal regions of Pakistan, along these lines revamping themselves and making greater security dangers to the nation. In the tribal ranges, developing disappointment against the armed crusade has made a circumstance in which the writ of the legislature is tested. Pakistan is undermined by possibility of being known as a psychological militant state

alongside developing misperception and purposeful publicity by its foes that Pakistan is not dedicated to the GWOT. These are to several degree predictable dangers to Pakistan. However this war is currently on the nerves of each Pakistani: they are confronting a feeling of weakness, dread, sorrow, low level of resilience, developing lawfulness circumstance and sadness. Generally influenced are kids. The need of great importance is to stop the accuse diversions. The worldwide group is likewise in charge of the rising hazard of fear based oppression. Attempt to address the genuine causes behind this risk instead of simply indicating towards Pakistan and droning the accomplish more, accomplish more mantra. Approached, concede Pakistan's part, Fence the Pak- Afghan fringe, acknowledge Pakistan atomic equality with India, give access to Pakistani producers to European markets and discount every one of the credits of this nation. The US needs to bolster Pakistan carefully and politically and with the most recent arms and advances.

Pakistan must be recognized as the most vital partner in the GWOT as opposed to as a fear based oppressor state. With restricted assets, political shakiness, characteristic cataclysms, Pakistan has as of now contributed immensely to the war. Presently it's the universal group that must recognize Pakistan's needs and endeavors and give it the

Unlimited supports if the war against fear mongering is to be controlled and battle the transnational gatherings viably to end this extended war on psychological oppression. The drone assaults pushed by the United States on the northwest area of Pakistan since 2004 have not helped the US to win the war on dread. The fundamental coming up short of the drone attack procedure could be stated to be the way that it was contrived utilizing a neorealist state of mind in an inexorably neoliberal worldwide humanity.

Recommendations

The perception drawn from above literature is that the general population of Pakistan as well as international community considers drone attacks as the violation of Pakistan's sovereignty. The level of productivity of drone attacks is very low; therefore it has proved an ineffective strategy. At the same time, if a high value target is not being targeted the way it is claimed, then Pakistan-US should have devised some alternative strategy to overcome the challenge. The drone attacks have also caused collateral damage resulting as great unrest in the local community close to the Pak-Afghan border. So, any increase in such attacks will further add into the prevailing anxiety.

To overcome the problem, Pakistan-US may look into the following options: Zero-tolerance Policy should be adopt without any discrimination against terrorism would be the key for effective result in future, which is also vivid in the current policy devised after the terror attack on Army Public School in Peshawar.

The sense of deprivation should be removed as it a leading factor in the proliferation of terrorism. Capacity building programs should be launched for the youth of the areas where operations against terrorists are going on.

If there is any possibility that drones are instrumental in counter-terrorism operations in Pakistan then Pakistan should use this technology herself against the terrorists; thus, discouraging any foreign state to violate the sovereignty of Pakistan. As the US draw down from Afghanistan would be critical in a way that it would create a vacuum which would later be filled by other regional stake-holders. Since the root of the problems in the part of country bordering Afghanistan is directly or indirectly related to US, Pakistan, Afghanistan and India, therefore, any policy to overcome the problem in the future should be decided while

involving all stakeholders.

A proposal of cooperative security would be an ideal one, to address the given situation. For Cooperative Security Initiatives, all the stakeholders should respect each other's sovereignty. They must contribute their honest efforts to eradicate terrorism.

To make their counter terrorism operations successful, intelligence sharing is highly desirable. Media campaigns may also serve the purpose while defusing tension and restoring the image of parties in line for pursuit of the said noble cause.

References

- Mohanty, Nirode, (2013). America, Pakistan, and the India factor. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Anwar, Muhammad. & Baig, Ebad, (2012). Pakistan: time for a change. *New York: Author House.*
- Definition of terrorism under US Law,
http://terrorism.about.com/od/whatisterroris1/ss/DefineTerrorism_5.html
- War on Terror, <http://www.freebase.com/view/guid/9202a8c04000641f8000000006eb3b23>
- What is GWOT? <http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-gwot.html>
- Dr. Noman Omar Sattar, (2009). Terrorism: dynamics of the new wave, Margalla Papers: *Islamabad: NDU, 2009*, p34.
- Rekha Datta, (1994). Why Alliances Endure: The United States-Pakistan Alliances (1954-1971), New Delhi: *South Asian Publishers*, 1994.
- Hayatullah Khan Khattak, (2007). "US Pakistan strategic Partnership: A Track Two process for longterm security Cooperation and Stability, analysis", *ISSRA and National Defense University, Feb 2007.*